ISSN: 2306-6091

International Journal of Pharmaceuticals and Health Care Research (IJPHR)

IJPHR |Vol.14 | Issue 4 | Oct-Dec-2026

www.ijphr.com

DOI : https://doi.org/10.61096/ijphr.v14.iss4.2026.946-955

Wearable Devices in Clinical Trials: Technologies for Continuous Patient Monitoring

Aditya Malleboina[1], Bhavani Estarla[1], Sri Krishna Raja Kiran Kalepu[1], Satish Kumar Vemavarapu[2]

[1]*Intern, Clinoxy Solutions Pvt.Ltd., KPHB 9th Phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, Telangana*

[2]*Founder, Clinoxy Solutions Pvt.Ltd., KPHB 9th Phase, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, Telangana*

Email; skrk.kalepu@gmail.com

Published on: 26.10.2026
Published by: Futuristic Publications
2026| All rights reserved.

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract: Wearable devices are transforming clinical research by enabling continuous, remote, and real-world monitoring of physiological and behavioural parameters. Conventional clinical trials frequently rely on intermittent assessments obtained during scheduled site visits, which may provide only a limited representation of a participant's health status. Wearable technologies-including smartwatches, smart rings, sensor-embedded patches, continuous glucose monitoring systems, electrocardiographic patches, and activity monitors can generate high frequency longitudinal data in participants' everyday environments. These data can be processed into digital measures and, when appropriately validated, digital biomarkers or digital clinical outcome assessments. Recent evidence indicates rapid growth in usage of wearable-derived measurements into interventional clinical trials. A 2026 analysis identified 1,021 interventional trials registered between 2001 and 2025 that incorporated wearable- derived data, demonstrating the increasing role of wearables in drug development. Wearables can support assessment of physical activity, mobility, gait, sleep, heart rate, cardiac rhythm, glucose, respiratory parameters, temperature, medication adherence and treatment-related changes. Their incorporation may reduce dependence on site-based assessments, improve ecological validity, and enable more frequent measurement of treatment effects. However, technological variability, sensor accuracy, missing data, participants' burden, interoperability, cyber security, privacy, algorithmic bias, and insufficient clinical validation remain important barriers. Regulatory agencies have consequently emphasized fit-for-purpose technology selection, analytical and clinical data validation, data integrity, and patient protection. This review discusses the technologies underlying wearable monitoring, their applications in clinical trial, the development of digital end points, considerations, limitations, and prospective directions. The integration validated wearable-derived measures with conventional clinical endpoints has the potential to create more continuous, patient-centred, and decentralised approaches towards clinical research.

Key Words: wearable devices; clinical trials; digital health technology; digital biomarkers; digital endpoints; continuous monitoring; decentralized clinical trials; remote data acquisition; biosensors; digital clinical outcome assessments.

INTRODUCTION

Clinical trials traditionally depend upon scheduled visits during which investigators collect physiological measurements, laboratory results, imaging data, clinical assessments, and patient-reported outcomes. Although this model remains fundamental to evidence generation, intermittent measurements can fail to

capture changes occurring between the visits. A participant may be assessed for a few hours or minutes at a clinical site despite experiencing substantial variation in symptoms, activity, sleep, heart rate, or other physiological parameters throughout the remainder of the day.

Wearable devices offer an alternative approach by allowing physiological and behavioural characteristics to be measured repeatedly or continuously during routine daily activities.

Wearables encompass a broad range of technologies like wrist-worn smartwatches and activity trackers, chest-worn electrocardiographic devices, adhesive biosensor patches, smart rings, continuous glucose monitoring systems, and sensor equipped clothing. These technologies may collect data passively, actively, or as a combination of both approaches.

The importance of wearable technologies in clinical development has increased considerably. A recent analysis of registered interventional trials identified 1,021 trials conducted between 2001 and 2025 that incorporated wearable-derived data. The analysis identified applications involving drug effects, dose optimization, adherence, and optimization of treatment delivery, while also demonstrating expansion across physiological domains including glucose, cardiovascular function, sleep, motor activity, and brain-related signals.

The increasing use of wearables is also supported by regulatory developments. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued final guidance in 2023 on digital health technologies (DHTs) for remote data acquisition in clinical investigations, providing recommendations for selection, implementation, and use of technologies that remotely collect data from trial participants. The European Medical Agency (EMA) similarly recognizes digital measures, digital biomarkers, and digital endpoints within its qualification framework for methodologies used in medicine development.

Despite this progress, the adoption of wearable technologies raises important scientific, ethical, and operational questions. Investigators must determine whether a device measures the intended physiological construct accurately, whether the resulting digital measure is reproducible, whether it reflects a clinically meaningful outcome, and whether participants can use the technology consistently. Data quality, missingness, privacy, cybersecurity, algorithmic performance, and regulatory acceptability must also be addressed before wearable-derived measures can support confirmatory decision-making.

Thus, wearable devices are evolving from exploratory monitoring tools towards potential sources of clinically meaningful trial endpoints. Their successful integration, however, requires considerably more than simply attaching a sensor to a participant. The technology, measurement, algorithm, clinical context, statistical analysis, and regulatory purpose must be considered together. This review therefore examines the technologies used for wearable monitoring, their applications in clinical trials, the pathway from sensor signals to digital endpoints, the advantages and limitations of wearable-derived data, and the regulatory and methodological considerations required for responsible implementation.

What are Wearables?

Wearables in clinical trials are electronic devices worn on or near the body that continuously or periodically collect health-related data such as heart rate, activity levels, and physiological signals. By reducing the need for frequent site visits, wearables and sensors in clinical trials allow real-time data collection that reveals nuanced insights into patient behaviours and vitals. This remote monitoring helps alleviate participant burden and often results in higher adherence rates. As such, as the industry evolves, sensors, wearables, and digital biomarkers in clinical trials are widening the scope of measurable endpoints, enabling deeper analyses of patient health.

Key Functions of Wearables in Clinical Trials

Live Data Acquisition

Regular monitoring of factors such as cardiac parameters, sleep routines, and blood sugar levels provides instant data for examination. Wearable technology favours clinical trials and patients through data collection over an extended period without the need to physically examine the patient, thus helping identify patterns and trends in the health and behaviour of the patient.

Patient-Focused Strategy

A crucial role for the use of wearable technologies is to safeguard patients' needs, in addition to supporting innovation and normalising the demand for patient-centricity in clinical trials. Wearable technologies create a milieu where patients become more engaged with their healthcare by reducing the number of

hospital visits and promoting remote monitoring, thereby minimizing dropout rates and fostering an increase in virtual or decentralised clinical trials for diverse populations.

Smooth Data Synchronization

Simplifying real-time analysis by integrating wearable data directly into existing electronic data capture (EDC) systems and clinical data hubs/repositories enables researchers to swiftly adjust study designs.

Various types of information that can be gathered through wearable tech devices

The utilization of sensor-based monitoring technology enables continuous data collection, allowing clinicians to detect health issues early and make informed, data-driven decisions. These signals are converted into domain-specific features used to build predictive models, though including irrelevant data can drastically reduce their accuracy. Depending on the target medical application, these systems can be designed to track either long-term wellness patterns or immediate, transient events like falls and emotional perception.

Wearable health devices collect a highly diverse range of data, primarily focusing on motion tracking, activity monitoring, and biophysical parameter detection. Most of this information is derived from accelerometers—often combined with gyroscopes and magnetometers into inertial motion units—to track physical movement, sleep patterns, temperature, and heart rate.

Beyond motion, these devices frequently measure vital physiological signs like blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate. Advanced systems also track biochemical and bioelectric metrics, including pH levels, blood glucose, galvanic skin resistance, and electrocardiography signals. Ultimately, this extensive sensor data enables real-time monitoring and emergency warnings for critical conditions like cardiac events, abnormal breathing, stress levels, and overall patient health status.

Wearable vital sign data can predict clinical laboratory measurements with higher accuracy than conventional clinical methods, with the model effectiveness heavily relying on the monitoring duration and proximity to the prediction date. This raw and processed sensor data provides clinicians with actionable insights to evaluate disease risk, diagnose conditions, and manage treatments. Furthermore, the information can be used to generate personalized, real-time health coaching interventions while being securely stored in electronic health records or personal health clouds for advanced analysis.

Types of Wearable Devices

1. Consumer Grade Wearables
2. Medical-Grade Wearables
3. Smart Rings and Biosensors
4. Smart Textiles and Patches

Wearable Devices in Various Healthcare Disciplines

Psychiatry

Wearable devices in psychiatry track physiological and behavioral signs to help monitor, assess, and treat mental health conditions.

Clinical and Commercial Examples

- **Flow Neuroscience Headset**: A prescription-based, at-home wearable headset that uses transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) to treat depression by stimulating the frontal cortex.
- **Abilify MyCite**: An ingestible sensor pill paired with a wearable torso patch designed to track medication adherence in patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or depression.
- **TouchPoints**: Wrist or pocket devices that use alternating micro-vibrations (neuro-touch technology) to alter the body's physiological response to stress and anxiety.
- Muse Headband: An EEG (electroencephalography) brain-sensing headband used as a biofeedback tool to guide meditation and train focus.
- **Consumer Smartwatches and** Rings (Apple Watch, Oura Ring, Fitbit): Routinely utilized by clinicians and researchers to track passive biometrics like heart rate variability (HRV), sleep disruption, and physical activity to detect early warning signs of depressive relapses or acute anxiety.

Key Metrics Tracked

- **Heart Rate Variability (HRV)**: Measures fluctuations in time between heartbeats to gauge autonomic nervous system reactivity and acute stress.
- **Electrodermal Activity (EDA)**: Detects microscopic sweat gland changes on the skin linked to sympathetic nervous system arousal and panic.
- **Sleep Architecture**: Monitors REM and deep sleep stages, where chronic disturbances tightly correlate with worsening depression, PTSD, or the onset of manic episodes.

Cardiology:

Wearable devices in cardiology include consumer smartwatches, medical-grade patches, and specialized monitors that track heart rhythms, rates, and vital signs.

Consumer Smartwatches & Rings

Apple Watch (Series 4 and newer) – Features photoplethysmography (PPG) for irregular heart rhythm notifications and an FDA-cleared single-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) app to detect atrial fibrillation.

Samsung Galaxy Watch (Active2, Watch3, and later) – Offers built-in PPG and ECG monitoring to track heart rate and screen for rhythm abnormalities. Fitbit Sense / Charge (Charge 5 and newer) – Utilizes a multi-path optical heart rate sensor to monitor for signs of atrial fibrillation and log daily cardiovascular metrics. Withings ScanWatch – Combines a hybrid analog design with clinical-grade ECG and SpO2 (blood oxygen) monitoring. Oura Ring Gen3 – Tracks resting heart rate, heart rate variability (HRV), and nocturnal blood oxygen trends from the finger.

Medical-Grade & Specialized Monitors

KardiaMobile – A portable, medical-grade single-lead or multi-lead ECG device that connects to a smartphone to record clinical-quality heart tracings in seconds.

VitalPatch RTM

VitalPatch RTM – A chest-mounted adhesive biosensor designed for continuous, remote ECG arrhythmia monitoring, heart rate, and respiratory tracking.

Omron HeartGuide – A clinical-validated blood pressure monitor built into a wearable wristwatch format using an oscillometric inflatable cuff.

Gynaecology:

Wearable technology in the fields of gynaecology and reproductive health monitors continuous physiological data—such as skin temperature, resting heart rate, and heart rate variability—to track menstrual cycles, fertility, and pregnancy wellness.

Examples of Wearable Devices in Gynaecology:

Smart Rings: Wearables such as the Oura Ring 4 monitor nighttime skin temperature, heart rate variability (HRV), and resting heart rate to detect changes throughout the menstrual cycle.

Fertility Trackers: An FDA-approved Ava Fertility Tracker is a specialized wristband worn during sleep to measure heartbeat, respiration, and body temperature to identify fertile periods

Upper-Arm Sensors: The Tempdrop arm-band patch (An FDA-registered medical device with CE marking, quality standard ISO 13485, and an FDA 510(k) pathway currently in process) tracks a woman's basal body temperature (BBT) consistently throughout the night to offer a reliable charting method for irregular menstrual cycles.

Core Temperature Monitors: The OvuSense sensor (FDA-approved) functions by measuring continuous core body temperature (cCBT) intravaginally overnight to predict ovulation up to 24 hours in advance with a 96% positive predictive value and confirm the ovulation date with 99% accuracy via the companion app

Oncology:

Consumer Trackers and smartwatches such as ActiGraph, Fitbit, Garmin, and ActivPAL are frequently deployed in clinical and research settings. Dedicated clinical biosensors (e.g., BioSenseTek, AON TempShield) integrate electrophysiology, ECG, respiration rate, and continuous thermal data into central clinician dashboards.

AON TempShield: This FDA-cleared device attaches to the chest, automatically measuring body temperature every 5 minutes for up to 90 days, detecting fluctuations 2 to 4 days before symptoms appear, as well as flagging chemotherapy-induced side effects, infections, or acute toxicities before they escalate, thereby helping reduce hospitalizations. The data syncs via Bluetooth to a mobile app, where users can also manually log oral temperature, blood pressure, or SpO_2 (peripheral oxygen saturation).

MUSE-S Headband System: An example of an early Phase I study (NCT06274034), an oncology clinical trial, evaluates how the MUSE-S Headband System helps breast cancer survivors manage anxiety and insomnia without medication. Over an eight-week period, participants use the wearable technology nightly to track sleep architecture and practice guided meditation for at least five minutes daily via a smartphone application. The device leverages advanced EEG sensors to monitor brain activity and provide real-time audio feedback, aiming to alleviate post-chemotherapy distress by promoting a calm and focused state.

The "Breathe Anew" (BA) feasibility trial evaluated a multidisciplinary postoperative intervention for non-small cell lung cancer survivors, combining wearable technology for physical rehab, mindfulness training, and radiological surveillance. While participants demonstrated strong compliance with the radiological follow-ups and the Fitbit tracking—which led to significant improvements in health-related quality of life—compliance with the mindfulness-based cognitive therapy component was much lower. Ultimately, the intervention proved feasible with encouraging recruitment rates and a 100% patient recommendation rate, providing strong justification for a larger prospective comparative trial.

Another study (NCT02773329) utilized validated wearable sensory technologies worn on shins, thighs and lower back to examine if instrumented gait and balance metrics could determine the severity of motor-performance deterioration caused by chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) in older adults. The results revealed that participants with cancer experienced significant motor decline compared to non-cancer peers, with the most pronounced deficits occurring in stride time and ankle stability for those with severe symptoms. Ultimately, researchers confirmed that the Vibration Perception Threshold (VPT) test correlates with this motor decline and can serve as a reliable predictor for the severity of CIPN symptoms.

Ophthalmology

Wearable devices in ophthalmology include smart contact lenses, augmented reality/virtual reality (AR/VR) headsets, and low-vision glasses that monitor eye conditions, deliver treatments, and assist with visual impairments.

Examples

Drug-delivery: Smart contact lenses utilize embedded micro-sensors or microfluidic channels to continuously track 24-hour corneal changes and uncover internal eye pressure spikes missed by standard exams. These readings are wirelessly transmitted to smartphones or databases, allowing doctors to evaluate medication efficacy and tailor treatments to a patient's unique pressure rhythm. Furthermore, advanced theranostic prototypes can automatically deliver targeted glaucoma medications on-demand, reducing a patient's reliance on strict, daily eye drop schedules.

Amblyopia and Strabismus: CureSight, an FDA-approved device, is an advanced binocular treatment for amblyopia (lazy eye) in paediatric patients aged 4 to under 9. The system uses embedded eye-tracking hardware and algorithms to blur the central image of the dominant eye while keeping the weaker eye's image sharp, forcing the brain to retrain both eyes to work together. Operating at home while streaming video content, it achieves high patient compliance, matches the efficacy of traditional eye-patching, and allows eye care professionals to remotely track progress via a cloud portal.

Low Vision Assistance: The Eyedaptic EYE5 is classified as an FDA Class I exempt medical device and does not require individual FDA approval or 510(k) premarket clearance. Designed as a wearable low-vision aid, it utilizes augmented reality and simulated natural vision software to assist individuals with conditions like macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy. The Apple Vision Pro includes robust accessibility features like head, wrist, or finger tracking to assist users with central or peripheral visual field loss when eye-tracking is impaired. Additionally, users can bypass eye calibration entirely, utilize magnification levels up to 10x, and adjust display settings such as text size and contrast to accommodate blind spots.

Myopia Control and Habit Tracking: The Clouclip is a Class I exempt device, a lightweight, spectacle-mounted wearable device developed by Glasson Technology Co. Ltd. to monitor visual behaviour and

track environmental risk factors related to myopia. It utilizes infrared, light, and motion sensors to track viewing distance, ambient light, and activity, providing vibration alerts for poor reading habits and syncing data via Bluetooth to a mobile app.

To objectively track a patient's daily visual habits and environmental exposures, Vivior developed the Vivior Monitor, which is a lightweight, 14-gram wearable device that easily attaches to prescription or clear eyeglasses. The collected sensor data is processed anonymously in the cloud using machine-learning algorithms to generate detailed visual behaviour reports for eye care professionals and surgeons.

Pediatrics

Infant Vital Sign Monitors

The Owlet Dream Sock is a wearable smart baby monitor that uses pulse oximetry to track an infant's live heart rate, oxygen levels, and sleep trends. Designed to fit babies aged 1 to 18 months, the system includes four interchangeable fabric socks and a bedside base station that alerts parents with visual (red colour) and audible alarms if readings leave preset zones. The device utilizes Bluetooth and Wi-Fi to sync live data and historical sleep patterns directly to a dedicated mobile app. Another example is the BEMPU TempWatch, a wearable neonatal bracelet that continuously monitors the skin temperature of preterm and low-birth-weight infants to prevent hypothermia. Using a thermistor metal cup on the wrist, it flashes a blue light for normal readings and triggers an audible alarm alongside an orange/red light if the temperature drops below 36.5°C. Validated with high clinical accuracy, the 30-day device prompts caregivers to immediately initiate Kangaroo Mother Care, which significantly increases daily weight gain and care duration.

Continuous Glucose Monitors (CGMs) & Insulin Pumps

The Omnipod 5 Automated Insulin Delivery System is a tubeless, waterproof, wearable device approved for individuals with type 1 diabetes aged 2 and older. It integrates with compatible continuous glucose monitors to predict glucose trends and automatically adjusts or suspends insulin delivery to protect against hypoglycemia. Clinical studies demonstrate that the system significantly improves daily time in the target glucose range (110-150 mg/dL) and reduces HbA1c levels within months. Each pod provides continuous insulin delivery for up to three days and allows caregivers to customize glucose targets and manage boluses via a smartphone or controller.

The Dexcom G7 Continuous Glucose Monitor is officially approved for pediatric patients with diabetes aged 2 years and older. It offers a fast 30-minute warm-up time and provides up to 10 days of continuous wear (upper arms or lower abdomen for ages 2+, plus upper buttocks for ages 2–6), backed by a clinical accuracy rating of 8.5% mean absolute relative difference (MARD) in children. The system sends live readings directly to smart devices, eliminating the need for routine fingerpricks unless symptoms do not match the data. Additionally, the Dexcom Follow app allows parents, school nurses, and caregivers to receive real-time remote alerts and predictive low blood sugar warnings.

Pediatric Rehabilitation Exoskeletons

They are wearable robotic devices that mirror a child's skeletal structure to assist with standing, walking, and joint movement for conditions like cerebral palsy. Unlike end-effectors that apply force to limb tips, these systems strap directly to the limbs and torso to independently power specific joints like the hips, knees, and ankles. By using assist-as-needed paradigms, modern devices deliver torque only when necessary to encourage active muscle participation and neuroplasticity while combining movement with controlled resistance to build strength. Examples of such technologies include EksoNR, EXPLORER, and NIH Crouch Gait Exoskeleton.

Orthopedics

Wearable devices in orthopedics include smart knee braces, plantar pressure insoles, and inertial measurement units (IMUs) used to track patient mobility and joint rehabilitation.

Examples of Orthopedic Wearable Devices

Smart Orthopedic Braces: Built-in sensors monitor joint stability, range of motion, and healing progression after surgeries like ligament reconstruction.

Plantar Pressure Soles (e.g., StappOne Insoles): Placed inside shoes to measure precise weight distribution, gait symmetry, and ground reaction forces during recovery from lower-extremity trauma.

Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs): Small body-worn motion sensors attached to limbs to capture precise kinematic data, joint angles, and movement velocity.

Electromyography (EMG) wearables: Patches or bands that measure muscle activation patterns to help physical therapists evaluate muscle response during targeted strengthening.

Nephrology

Wearables and remote monitoring tools in nephrology clinical trials are shifting care from intermittent hospital checks to continuous, real-time tracking across chronic kidney disease (CKD), end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), and post-operative transplant recovery.

Biochemical & Electrolyte Monitoring: The Alio Smart Patch™ is an FDA-cleared wearable biosensor and the world's first completely non-invasive monitor capable of measuring blood potassium, haemoglobin, and haematocrit without needles, while continuously tracking vital signs like heart rate and skin temperature. Additionally, it features an integrated acoustic sensor suite to surveil vascular access points and automatically records clinical data every three hours without any required user interaction. This silicone-encased wearable patch houses over 12 diverse sensors, including a microphone, accelerometer, thermistor, and multi-spectral optical components, to provide up to seven days of continuous monitoring over a blood vessel. The showerproof device requires zero manual calibration by automatically adapting to skin tone and correcting for daily movement. On the other hand, experimental sweat and interstitial fluid (ISF) biosensors target real-time markers like creatinine, urea, and cystatin C.

Wearable Artificial Kidney (WAK): A lightweight, continuous blood purification system operates 24/7 or for extended blocks to steadily clear uremic toxins and maintain fluid and electrolyte balance, mimicking a biological kidney to stabilize blood pressure. By utilizing specialized sorbent cartridges (activated carbon and zirconium-based materials) to regenerate and recycle a small volume of dialysate, it eliminates the need for massive stationary machinery and large quantities of purified water. Weighing between 2 and 10 pounds, these wearable devices strap to the body or fit under clothing to grant patients full independence to walk, work, and sleep while receiving therapy. Through smart monitoring and continuous clearance via vascular or peritoneal access, the system tracks metrics in real time and significantly relaxes traditional fluid and dietary restrictions.

Interstitial Fluid Potassium Patches: Disposable, minimally invasive microneedle patches applied to the skin to measure real-time potassium levels and alert patients or clinicians to dangerous electrolyte shifts.

Neurology

Wearable devices in neurology are portable tools that track brain signals, movement, and vital signs to help manage conditions like epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, stroke, and many other neurological conditions.

Examples of Wearable Devices by Neurological Condition

Epilepsy (Seizure Detection): The Empatica Embrace2 is an FDA-cleared medical smartwatch designed for advanced epilepsy management and seizure monitoring. It uses machine learning alongside sensors for electrodermal activity, movement, and skin temperature to detect patterns of generalized tonic-clonic seizures. When a potential seizure is identified, the watch pairs with a smartphone app to send automated phone calls and text alerts containing the wearer's real-time GPS location to designated caregivers. The device also features a lightweight, water-resistant design with a minimalist LED face and provides a 48+ hour battery life that charges rapidly.

Parkinson's Disease and Tremors: Wrist-worn transcutaneous afferent patterned stimulation (TAPS) is a non-invasive, physician-prescribed neuromodulation therapy used to temporarily control postural and kinetic hand tremors in Parkinson's disease. Worn like a wristwatch, the device measures a patient's unique tremor signature and delivers programmed electrical pulses to the median and radial nerves. This peripheral stimulation disrupts pathological neural oscillations in the brain's thalamus, mimicking the therapeutic targets of invasive deep brain stimulation without surgery. Modern iterations feature adaptive calibration to optimize relief during daily activities, with mild wrist skin irritation being the most common side effect.

Stroke and Vascular Risk: Consumer smartwatches track pulse irregularities and screen for atrial fibrillation, a primary risk factor for ischemic stroke. Electrocardiogram patches like the Zio patch provide longer-term heart rhythm monitoring.

Multiple Sclerosis (MS): Fitness trackers and accelerometers measure daily physical activity, sedentary time, and gait parameters to spot subtle changes in disability progression outside the clinic.

Sleep and Headaches: Actimetry devices and headbands monitor sleep-wake cycles and physiological signals to identify patterns related to sleep disorders or migraine frequency.

Neuropathic Pain:

Quell is an advanced, wearable transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) device designed to deliver prescription-strength, drug-free relief from severe or persistent body and nerve pain. Worn on the upper calf, the device sends electrical pulses through the skin to trigger the body's natural pain-relief response. It features smart app integration that allows users to calibrate therapy intensity, automate hourly sessions, and track sleep alongside pain levels. Additionally, this powerful device holds FDA authorization specifically to help reduce chronic pain symptoms in adults with fibromyalgia.

Challenges and Limitations of Wearable Technologies in Healthcare

Although health-focused wearable technology shows great promise, key challenges must be resolved before it can be widely integrated into routine clinical practice.

Data Confidentiality and Encryption

The collection of sensitive health data by wearable devices raises growing concerns regarding data breaches and potential misuse. To address these security vulnerabilities, the incorporation of blockchain technology, which is advancing data protection methods, is providing novel solutions to ensure patient information remains secure.

Data Consolidation

Despite the lack of standardization among wearable devices, which can lead to data silos that hinder integration with electronic health records, seamless alignment with clinical workflows is still crucial. To overcome these challenges, providers should adopt modern solutions including cloud-based storage platforms and automated data processing to improve information sharing processes.

Availability and Cost-effectiveness

The high cost and limited availability of medical-grade wearables restrict access to well-funded providers and well-insured patients, which threatens to widen healthcare disparities. However, it is anticipated that rising demand and technological advancements will lead to cost reductions and increased accessibility over time, which will help to make these health tools more inclusive.

Precision and Credibility

To be trusted in clinical settings, wearables need to provide accurate medical data, but the type of device and the body position can affect the reliability of this data. Inspiringly, ongoing investigations and technological advancements are diligently pushing for performance enhancements to guarantee more dependable, medically beneficial wearables in the future.

CONCLUSION

Wearable technologies are evolving from basic fitness trackers into advanced tools for real-time health monitoring and predictive disease analytics. They now support clinical interventions by tracking chronic conditions, fertility, and early signs of viral infections like COVID-19. By passively collecting continuous physiological data, wearables significantly lower participant burden while offering high-resolution health insights for research. However, the industry still faces critical hurdles, including strict data privacy compliance, battery limitations, and algorithmic bias across diverse body types. Moving forward, the integration of artificial intelligence and bio-integrated sensors will be vital to driving widespread clinical adoption.

REFERENCES

1. AlSaad R, Lin G, Albasha S, Kashani S, Thomas R. Women's Health Wearables: From Continuous Signals to Actionable Digital Phenotypes Across the Reproductive Lifespan. Bioengineering. 2026; 13(8):897. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering13080897
2. Bayoumy K, Gaber M, Elshafeey A, Mhaimeed O, Dineen EH, Marvel FA, et al. Smart wearable devices in cardiovascular care: where we are and how to move forward. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2021;18(8):581–99
3. Bhandari KR, & Ostrin LA. *Validation of the Clouclip and utility in measuring viewing distance in adults*. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2020; 40: 801–814. https://doi.org/10.1111/opo.12735
4. Dube, S., Mulopa, J., Moyo, X., Maninga, B., Mbiririr, T., Dube, S. P. 2026. The Management of Chronic Kidney Disease Through Smart Wearables. International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation (IJRSI), 13(15), doi: 10.51244.
5. Dunn J, Kidzinski L, Runge R, Witt D, Hicks JL, Schüssler-Fiorenza Rose SM, et al. Wearable sensors enable personalized predictions of clinical laboratory measurements. Nat Med. 2021;27(6):1105–12
6. https://axesslab.com/vision-pro-a11y/
7. https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06274034
8. https://eyedaptic.com/eye5/
9. *https://eyewire.news/news/novasight-announces-fda-510k-clearance-of-curesight-digital-therapy-for-amblyopia?c4src=article%3Ainfinite-scroll*
10. https://globalforum.diaglobal.org/issue/march-2022/digital-oncology-wearable-and-remote-monitoring-devices-for-cancer-treatment-and-survivorship/
11. https://midamortho.com/wearable-technology-and-orthopedic-care-monitoring-and-rehabilitation/
12. https://www.ovusense.com
13. https://www.startupticker.ch/en/news/swiss-premium-optical-retailer-to-use-vivior-s-wearable-device
14. https://www.whisusa.com/past-partners/tempdrop
15. Johnson, S.C., O'Day, J., Kraus, E. *et al.* Decoding menstrual health across the lifespan: a scoping review of digital health tools in research. *npj Womens Health* **4**, 27 (2026).
16. Keppler, A.M., Zaccaria, R., Weigert, M. *et al.* Wearable technology for mobility measurement in orthopedics and traumatology: a comparison of commercially available systems. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* **145**, 192 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-025-05803-1
17. Marmor, M.T., Grimm, B., Hanflik, A.M. *et al.* Use of Wearable Technology to Measure Activity in Orthopaedic Trauma Patients: A Systematic Review. *JOIO* **56**, 1112–1122 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43465-022-00629-0
18. Muzny M, Henriksen A, Giordanengo A, Muzik J, Grøttland A, Blixgård H, et al. Wearable sensors with possibilities for data exchange: analyzing status and needs of different actors in mobile health monitoring systems. Int J Med Inform. 2020;133:104017.
19. Nulty AK, Chen E, Thompson AL. The Ava bracelet for collection of fertility and pregnancy data in free-living conditions: An exploratory validity and acceptability study. *Digit Health*. 2022;8:20552076221084461. Published 2022 Mar 11. doi:10.1177/20552076221084461
20. Paganelli AI, Mondéjar AG, Da Silva AC, Silva-Calpa G, Teixeira MF, Carvalho F, et al. Real-time data analysis in health monitoring systems: a comprehensive systematic literature review. J Biomed Inform. 2022;127:104009.
21. Ronald Chow, Hannah Drkulec, James H B Im, Jane Tsai, Abdulwadud Nafees, Swetlana Kumar, Tristan Hou, Rouhi Fazelzad, Natasha B Leighl, Monika Krzyzanowska, Philip Wong, Srinivas Raman, The Use of Wearable Devices in Oncology Patients: A Systematic Review, The Oncologist, Volume 29, Issue 4, April 2024, Pages e419–e430, https://doi.org/10.1093/oncolo/oyad305
22. Sabry F, Eltaras T, Labda W, Alzoubi K, Malluhi Q. Machine learning for healthcare wearable devices: the big picture. J Healthc Eng. 2022;2022:4653923–5.
23. Stauss M, Htay H, Kooman JP, Lindsay T, Woywodt A. Wearables in Nephrology: Fanciful Gadgetry or Prêt-à-Porter? Sensors. 2023; 23(3):1361. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031361

24. Steig A, Miller F, Shreim S, et al. Remote management of anaemia in patients with end-stage kidney disease using a wearable, non-invasive sensor. *Clin Kidney J*. 2024;18(1):sfae375. Published 2024 Nov 22. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfae375

25. Thryve Thryve. An overview of wearable sensors and their implications on health monitoring. 2022. Available from*:* https://thryve.health/recent-advances-in-wearable-sensors-for-health-monitoring/).

26. Vijayan V, Connolly JP, Condell J, McKelvey N, Gardiner P. Review of wearable devices and data collection considerations for connected health. Sensors. 2021;21(16):5589.

27. Wen, L., Cheng, Q., Cao, Y., Li, X., Pan, L., Li, L., Zhu, H., Mogran, I., Lan, W. and Yang, Z. (2021), The Clouclip, a wearable device for measuring near-work and outdoor time: validation and comparison of objective measures with questionnaire estimates. Acta Ophthalmol, 99: e1222-e1235. https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.14785

28. Y. Patel, I. Churchill, K. Sullivan, M. Beauchamp, J. Wald, L. Mbuagbaw, B. Key, S. Green, P. Bieling, J. Agzarian, C. Finley, Y. Shargall, C. Fahim, W. Hanna, MA07.04 Breathe Anew: Designing and Testing the Feasibility of a Novel Intervention for Lung Cancer Survivorship, Journal of Thoracic Oncology, Volume 16, Issue 3, Supplement, 2021, Pages S157-S158

29. Zahiri M, Chen K, Zhou H. Using wearables to screen motor performance deterioration because of cancer and chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) in adults - Toward an early diagnosis of CIPN. Journal of Geriatric Oncology, 2019; 10, 960-967